

**FILED**

AUG 23 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 24-90021

**ORDER**

**MURGUIA**, Chief Judge:

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge “acted in concert” with his defense counsel to improperly terminate a motion to dismiss criminal charges. He provides absolutely no supporting evidence. Accordingly, this allegation is dismissed as unfounded and as an impermissible challenge to the merits of the judge's decision. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), (iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including that claims are directly related to the merits of a decision, or that claims are lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B), (D).

Complainant also alleges wrongdoing by defense counsel and requests assistance from the Judicial Council. Because the Judicial-Conduct Rules apply only to active federal judges, this allegation is dismissed as beyond the scope of this proceeding. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 1.

**DISMISSED.**