

FILED

JAN 10 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 24-90132

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of the complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. *See* 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant filed a civil lawsuit. She raises a number of allegations against the district judge assigned to her case; however, they all challenge various rulings made by the district judge. Any allegation that relates directly to the merits of the judge's decision is dismissed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including that claims are directly related to the merits of a decision); *In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing as merits-related allegations that a judge made various improper rulings in a case); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the district judge's rulings demonstrate bias on the basis of complainant's gender and disability, as well as "bias in favor of protecting [the district judge's] judicial colleagues." However, adverse rulings are not proof of bias. *See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016). Because complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, they are dismissed as unfounded. *See* 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that are lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); *In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) (“claimant’s vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

The district judge entered certain preliminary screening orders, identifying deficiencies in the pleadings, and allowing complainant to file amended complaints. Complainant alleges that these orders included defamatory misstatements regarding the custody status of her children, the number of defendants named in the complaint, and issues relating to her mental health. Even if the district judge’s non-dispositive orders included misstatements relating to these issues, complainant has failed to demonstrate that misconduct occurred. Accordingly, this allegation is dismissed because the conduct, “even if true, is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” *See* Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(A).

Complainant’s request for the assignment of a new judge to her case, and for the appointment of counsel, are denied.

DISMISSED.